

THE ANALYSIS OF THE MUTUAL INFLUENCE OF ECONOMIC SUBJECTS USING RISK MEASURE COVAR ON THE EXAMPLE OF SOME RUSSIAN COMPANIES

Barabash Veronica Alexeevna,

*Intern investigator of Risk Institute, Saratov State University,
Student of Mechanics and Mathematics Department, Saratov
State University*

Sidorov Sergei Petrovich,

The Head of Risk Institute, Saratov State University

Abstract

Insufficient identification of the links between economic institutions (branches, sectors, companies, etc.) and their mutual influence leads to the danger of systemic risk. In connection with this phenomenon, early detection, prediction and prevention of the factors contributing to the emergence and development of systemic risk currently present the principal scientific and practical task. The paper describes *CoVaR*, a qualitatively new measure of risks, and provides options for its application using the example of three Russian companies. *CoVaR* value and its derived values are extremely promising from the point of view of financial risk-management especially considering detection of potential danger for the system and institutes inside it under systemic risks. Furthermore, *CoVaR* is directional, that is why *CoVaR* of the system conditional on institution does not equal the *CoVaR* of institution conditional on the system. Estimation of described value is a nontrivial task and it can be handled with the help of a great variety of methods, particularly using the method of quantile regressions which had been chosen for the empirical part of the study. While ordinary least squares (frequently used in Russian studies) are focused on getting estimators approximating conditional mean value of the variable in the case of the defined incoming values, the quantile regression is directed to get estimation either for 50% or for any other quantiles. One more profit of this method is connected with the fact, that it is more stable in case of getting the outlying values among incoming datum. As a result we managed to get the statistics of the mutual influence of two pairs of companies – “Gazprom” and “Sberbank”; “Sberbank” and “PIKK Company group”. Its analysis proves the applicability of *CoVaR* in Russian market conditions and shows the adequacy of the obtained values to the real state of institution economy.

Keywords: systemic risks, risk measures, Value-at-Risk, quantile regressions, finance risk

JEL: G01, G10, G18, G20, G28, G32, G38

References

1. Adrian, T., Brunnermeier, M.K., Nguyen, H.-L.Q. (2011), Hedge Fund Tail Risk, NBER Chapters in: Quantifying Systemic Risk, p. 155–172.
2. Boldin, M.V., Simonova, G.I., Tjurin, Ju.N. (1997), *Znakovyj statisticheskiy analiz linejnyh modelej* [The sentinel statistical analysis of the linear model]. M.: Nauka [M: Science].
3. Brunnermeier, M., Adriany, T. (2011), *CoVaR*, Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Reports, 348 (2011).
4. De Nicolò, G., Lucchetta, M. (2011), Systemic Risks and the Macroeconomy, NBER Chapters in: Quantifying Systemic Risk, p. 113–148.
5. Huang, X., Zhou, H., Zhu, H. (2011), Systemic risk contributions, BIS Papers chapters in: Bank for International Settlements (ed.), *Macroprudential regulation and policy*, p. 36–43.
6. *Jenciklopedija finansovogo risk-menedzhmenta / pod red. Lobanova, A.A. i Chugunova, A.V.* 4-e izd., ispr. i dop. [Encyclopedia of financial risk management / ed. by Lobanova, A.A. and Chugunova, A.V., 4th revised and enlarged ed.]. M.: Al'pina Biznes Buks [M: Alpina Business Books], 2009.

7. Jorion, P. (2006), Value at Risk: The New Benchmark for Managing Financial Risk. / 3rd Ed.: McGraw Hill Professional.
8. Kaurova, N.N. (2011), Sistemnye riski v finansovo-kreditnyh setjah [Systemic risks in financial credit networks]. Finansovaja analitika: problemy i reshenija [Financial analytics: problems and solutions], no 33(75).
9. Koenker, R. (2005), Quantile Regression, Cambridge Books, Cambridge University Press.
10. Koenker, R., Hallock, K.F. (2001), Quantile Regression, Journal of Economic Perspectives, American Economic Association, 15(4) (2001) 143–156.
11. Lehar, A. (2005), Measuring systemic risk: A risk management approach, Journal of Banking & Finance, Elsevier, 29(10) (2005) 2577–2603.
12. Sholomickij, A.G. (2005), Vybor pri neopredelennosti i modelirovanie riska [The choice under conditions of uncertainty and risk modelling]. M.: ID GU VShJe [M: Publishing house of State University - Higher School of Economics]