## DOES INSIDER OWNERSHIP CONCENTRATION MATTER FOR INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE IN EMERGING MARKETS?

Victoria A. Cherkasova,

Associate Professor Faculty of Economics NRU-HSE

Regina F. Duniasheva

NRU-HSE

## Abstract

This paper contributes to understanding the relationship between insider ownership and investment performance in emerging markets measured by marginal Tobin's Q. We will attempt to separate the positive wealth effect of managerial ownership from the negative entrenchment effect. The research analyses other determinants of corporate investment performance: institutional ownership, firm size and R&D intensity. The study was conducted on the sample of companies of Brazil, Russia, India and South Africa over 4-year period, 2009-2012.

Keywords: insider ownership, ownership concentration, corporate performance, investment performance, agency conflict, institutional ownership, marginal Tobin's Q

**JEL: G32** 

## References

- 1. Ivashkovskaya, I., Stepanova, A. (2009), Struktura sobstvennosti kak element korporativnoy finansovoy arkhitektury: vliyanie na strategicheskuyu effektivnost' kompaniy [Ownership structure as an element of corporate financial architecture: the impact on corporate strategic performance], Finansy i biznes [Finance and business], 3 (2009) 158–172.
- 2. Kapelyushnikov, R., Demina, N. (2005), Vliyanie kharakteristik sobstvennosti na rezul'taty ekonomicheskoy deyatel'nosti rossiyskikh promyshlennykh predpriyatiy [The impact ofownership structure on economic performance of Russian industrial companies], Voprosy ekonomiki [Economic questions], 2 (2005) 53–68.
- 3. Maslennikova, M., Stepanova, A. (2010), Vliyanie struktury sobstvennosti na effektivnost' deyatel'nosti na primere rossiyskikh i brazil'skikh kompaniy [The impact of ownership structure on corporate performance of Russian and Brazilian companies], Korporativnye finansy [Corporate finance], 3(15), (2010) 35–46. Available at: <a href="http://cfjournal.hse.ru/data/2010/12/31/1208183638/CF">http://cfjournal.hse.ru/data/2010/12/31/1208183638/CF</a> 15 pages35 46 maslennikova stepanova.pdf
- 4. Cherkasova, V. (2009), Vliyanie konflikta interesov sobstvennikov i menedzherov na investitsionnuyu politiku firm [The impact of agency conflict between managers and shareholders on corporate investment policy], Upravlenie korporativnymi finansami [Corporate financial management], 36 (6) (2009) 336–342.
- 5. Bhagat, S., Bolton, B. (2008), Corporate governance and firm performance, Journal of Corporate Finance, 3(14) (2008) 257–273.
- 6. Chang, S.J. (2003), Ownership structure, expropriation, and performance of group-affiliated companies in Korea, Academy of Management Journal, 2(46) (2003) 238–253.
- 7. Crossan, K. (2011), The effects of a separation of ownership from control on UK listed firms: an empirical analysis ,Managerial and Decision Economics, 5(32) (2011) 293–304.
- 8. Davies, J.R., Hillier, D., McColgan, P. (2005), Ownership structure, managerial behavior and corporate value, Journal of Corporate Finance, 4(11) (2005) 645–660.
- 9. Elyasiani, E., Jia, J. (2010), Distribution of institutional ownership and corporate firm performance, Journal of banking & finance, 3(34) (2010) 606–620.

- 10. Fama, E.F., Jensen M.C. (1983), Agency problems and residual claims, Journal of law and Economics, 2(26) (1983) 327–349.
- 11. Ferreira, M.A., Matos, P. (2008), The colors of investors' money: The role of institutional investors around the world, Journal of Financial Economics, 3(88) (2008) 499–533.
- 12. Florackis, C., Kostakis, A., Ozkan, A. (2009), Managerial ownership and performance, Journal of Business Research, 12(62) (2009) 1350–1357.
- 13. Fung, S., Tsai, S.C. (2012), Institutional Ownership and Corporate Investment Performance, Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration, 4(29) (2012) 348–365.
- 14. Gugler, K., Mueller, D.C., Yurtoglu, B.B. (2004a), Marginal q, Tobin's q, cash flow, and investment, Southern Economic Journal, 70(3) (2004a) 512–531.
- 15. Gugler, K., Mueller, D.C., Yurtoglu, B.B. (2004b), Corporate governance and the returns on investment, Journal of Law and Economics, 47 (2004b) 589–633.
- 16. Gugler, K., Mueller, D.C., Yurtoglu, B.B. (2008), Insider ownership, ownership concentration and investment performance: An international comparison, Journal of Corporate Finance, 5(14) (2008) 688–705.
- 17. Hayashi, F. (1982), Tobin's Marginal Q., Average Q. A Neoclassical Interpretation, Econometrica, 50 (1982) 731–753.
- 18. Hung, J.H., Chen H.J. (2009), Minimum Shareholding Requirements for Insiders: Evidence from Taiwanese SMEs, Corporate Governance: An International Review, 1(17) (2009) 35–46.
- 19. Jensen, M.C., Meckling, W.H. (1976), Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure, Journal of financial economics, 4(3) (1976) 305–360.
- 20. Liu, N., et al. (2012), Domestic and foreign institutional investors' behavior in China, The European Journal of Finance, ahead-of-p (ahead-of-p), (2012) 1–24.
- 21. McKnight, P.J., Weir, C. (2009), Agency costs, corporate governance mechanisms and ownership structure in large UK publicly quoted companies: A panel data analysis, The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2(49) (2009) 139–158.
- 22. Mueller, D.C., Peev, E. (2007), Corporate governance and investment in Central and Eastern Europe, Journal of Comparative Economics, 35 (2007) 414–437.
- 23. Mueller, D.C., Reardon, E.A. (1993), Rates of return on corporate investment, Southern Economic Journal, 60 (1993) 430–453.
- 24. Orbay, H., Yurtoglu, B.B. (2006), The impact of corporate governance structures on the corporate investment performance in Turkey, Corporate Governance: An International Review, 4(14) (2006) 349–363.
- 25. Vintila, G., Gherghina, S.C. (2013), Insider Ownership and the Value of the Bucharest Stock Exchange Listed Companies: Convergence-of-Interest or Entrenchment Effect? International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 1(4) (2013) 183–195.